



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,269	10/31/2000	Robert J. Sweeney	279.188US1	9005
21186	7590 11/29/2002			
	IAN, LUNDBERG, W	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	38 LIS, MN 55402	WALTON, GEORGE L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ġ-

Applicant(s)

## **Öffice Action Summary**

Eveninas

Application No.

09/703,269

Art Unit

George L. Walton

Unit **3753** 

Sweeney et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for	• •	
• •	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>THREE</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n	to event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing dat	te of this communication. Id for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	
- If NO perio	d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to re	eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned pate	ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	iva ta assessination(a) filed on Con 22, 20	202
	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 23, 20</u> nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This action	
,		
	nce this application is in condition for allowance ex osed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i>	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
· ·	n of Claims	
4) 💢 Cla	aim(s) <u>1-40</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a)	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆 Cla	aim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Cl	aim(s) <u>1-40</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆 Cla	aim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆 Ci	aims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers	
9) 🗆 Tr	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□ Tł	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
Δ	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗆 Ti	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
If	f approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.
12) 🗆 Th	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.
-	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗆 A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗌	All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. [	$\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
2. [	$\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
3. [	application from the International Burea	
	the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisional	
15)∐ A∈	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment		4) Distancing Commence (DTO 412) Person No.(4)
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
_	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6	6) Other:
at DCI into ma	ation Discussing Statement(8) (FTO-1443) Faper NO(8).	VI U Cuidi.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,269

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner

in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either patent to

Williams et al (WO 00/47278 or 6,266,554 B1). It is clearly stated that either patent teaches sensing

a cardiac signal, comparing the extracted features with a set of predetermined templates and

classifying the cardiac signal based on the outcome of the comparison. Also, the extracting features

are numerous types as disclosed by either patent. It is obvious that such extracting features can be

a feature vector A, for a sensed cardiac complex and a feature vector C, for cardiac complexes sensed

during normal sinus rhythm. In addition, feature vectors are derived from morphological features

along the sensed cardiac complex wave form. The morphological features are the extracted amplitude

values of peaks and valleys (or maxima and minima) in the QRS wave of each arrhythmic complex

.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,269

Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

through a process called feature extraction. Each arrhythmic complex is isolated according to a

known morphological template. It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the

invention was made, that the claimed computing curvatures at sample points is obvious in view of the

threshold value or a detection criterion, well known in the art, is used to indicate the activation of the

heart beat. The resulting feature vector A, includes a set of numbers, each number associated with

a particular point of the complex. Also, the normal rhythm vector C, is determined from a

predetermined waveform characteristics of cardiac QRS-waves recorded during normal sinus rhythm.

The resulting normal rhythm vector, N, includes a set of numbers, each number associated with a

particular morphological point of the normal sinus rhythm. Therefore, the sample points are obvious

in view of the points along the waveform or the peaks and valleys of the QRS wave. The claimed

square error curve is obvious in view of the mean square error calculation. The number of sample

points is obvious in view of features A and C along its respective curves or waveforms. Either patent

to Williams et al teaches the curvature computations, mean square error calculations, the analyzer,

the comparator or comparison circuit, template generator, controller, threshold values, pacing

electrical pulse energy, identifying and aligning features, memory to store extracted features and

electrode(s) disposed in or around a heart.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure as indicated on the PTO-1449.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2596.

GEORGE L. WALTON

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER - 3700** 

**ART UNIT - 3753** 

**GLW** 

November 27, 2002